

Executive Registry
68-1082

XP 673372

MEMORANDUM FOR: [REDACTED] General Manager
Northwest Federal Credit Union

STATINTL

SUBJECT: [REDACTED]

STATINTL

With reference to the outstanding loan balance of
[REDACTED] with the Credit Union, based on our experience
with [REDACTED] during the period subsequent to his employ-
ment, I believe there is no possibility that the Credit Union
can recover from [REDACTED]

STATINTL

L. K. WHITE
Executive Director-Comptroller

OGC:RHL:bt

Distribution:

Orig. & 1 - Adse.
1 - ExDir-Compt.
X - ER
1 - [REDACTED] w/basic
1 - OGC

JG

EX-REF ID: A65121

Executive Registry

18 January 1968

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT:

1. In accordance with the arrangements made by telephone with [REDACTED] following Colonel White's instructions at the meeting in his office on 16 January 1968, [REDACTED] came in STATINTL to see me this morning slightly after nine o'clock.

2. He was quite brusque and did not smile as we shook hands and sat down. I asked what I could do for him. He said he assumed I knew he had been trying to get answers to certain questions from the Director for some time and had not gotten them. I told him that, although he had not received direct communications from the Director, [REDACTED] had given him the Agency's answers although they might not be the answers he liked. This point was discussed briefly. I indicated that the Inspector General had gone into these matters very thoroughly, and the answers he received from the Agency had the approval of higher authority.

3. In any event, I suggested that we not be concerned with the past but direct our efforts toward my assisting him now. In response he said what he wanted now was the answers to these earlier questions. I told him we had no additional answers, that the answers of the Agency had been given him. He specifically repeated his request for the addresses of the several Agency people he had named and whose addresses he had requested before. I repeated that our answer to this one also had been given by [redacted] and we were not going to furnish him with addresses. I added, however, that if his purpose is to sue these individuals, I could tell him there had been a law suit against Agency persons in which the person suing had used as an address for the employees a CIA address in Washington, D. C. This, of course, could be done again. I repeated that the Agency answers

16

to his questions had been given and that we had nothing to add. If the answers did not satisfy him or if he did not agree with them, he should proceed to do whatever he wants to do. He said he would not accept the position that the answers had been given and no new ones would be forthcoming. I told him that he had no choice. He promptly stood up and without further comment left the room.

4. I accompanied him outside the front door of the building. During the walk I added that if he has other questions, we would be glad to look at those. He made no response to this and was almost noncommittal to my few efforts at small talk during the walk from my office to the front of the building.

5. He was in my office I suppose less than five minutes and in the building I am sure less than fifteen.

[redacted] informed my secretary that he has been in New York lately and only recently returned.

STATINTL

STATINTL

Associate General Counsel

cc: ExDir-Compt.

Executive Rec'd by
67-3322/3

19 January 1963

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Conversation with [REDACTED]

STATINTL

TL

1. I called [REDACTED] back last night. I told him that the Director's office had called me after he had called there. I wanted to suggest to him that, because our conversation had been quite brief, I would be glad to meet with him again either here or in town to continue the conversation. His response was to the effect that he was not sure that this would be useful but perhaps we should try. In the course of this, however, he indicated that one of his points is that he has not yet received the money due him. I told him that I did not have the file before me, but I was sure there were indications in the file that the money had been sent to him and I would check it and be back in touch with him on the 19th.

2. I did call him again this morning and told him there is in the file a copy of a letter of December 18 to him from the Credit Union indicating that the money was being forwarded with the letter. Also, there is a Memorandum for the Record by [REDACTED] of the Credit Union stating that in a telephone conversation with [REDACTED] on January 11, [REDACTED] acknowledged that [REDACTED] STATINTL

he had received the money. His response to this was that he agreed he received money from the Credit Union, but he had not received it from the Agency. This led to a fairly long but low-key discussion of this point in which I informed him that the money had been obtained from the Civil Service Retirement Fund and applied against his indebtedness to the Credit Union as authorized by him in that he signed the necessary documentation for this purpose at the time of his processing out. The Credit Union presumably had been willing to make the refund from a feeling that [REDACTED] perhaps did not realize he had authorized the withdrawal from the Civil Service or, in any event, had changed his mind on the point.

STATINTL

The essential fact, however, is that the money [REDACTED] had in the Civil Service fund is now in his hands. He also complained that he had not received interest for this money. I told him that no one owed him interest since the withdrawal from the Commission to apply against his debt to the Credit Union had been on his authority and that, in any event, the money in the hands of the Credit Union for the period that it was there saved him the interest which he otherwise would have owed the Credit Union. He complained also that he had asked [REDACTED] to have the money refunded to STATINTL the Civil Service Commission and that [REDACTED] had refused. STATINTL said that, to the contrary, it was not that [REDACTED] refused, it was that he could not put it back into the Commission. The Commission receives money into the fund with respect to employees.

[REDACTED] is no longer an employee and therefore the Commission has no authority to receive. His response was that the Commission should receive because the money was withdrawn from the fund improperly and illegally. I reiterated that the money had been withdrawn on his authority. I do not think for a moment that

[REDACTED] was satisfied on this point, but the conversation, I suppose, had the value that he did agree that the principal sum owed by him has been put into his hands, and the point was made to him, which I think had not occurred to him before, that his money has been used for this period to save interest which otherwise would have been owed by him to the Credit Union.

3. We left this discussion in a fairly relaxed way, and at his inquiry as to his other points, I repeated my statements of the day before, that the answers given him by [REDACTED] to his several questions were the answers of the Agency. They had been very carefully prepared after thorough investigation of the matters, and we had no additional answers. I was sorry if they were not the answers he wanted or if he thought they were not answers at all, but these were the answers the Agency was prepared to furnish him. He commented at some length that they were not responsive, that they were to some degree statements which did not answer the questions. I again indicated that these were our answers and I was sorry if they were not satisfactory.

4. He thereupon suggested that he thought further conversations with me would be fruitless, and he saw no advantage in

STATINTL

another meeting. I agreed. I assured him also that we would be glad to consider any new questions, and that he could call either [REDACTED] or me at any time. He did not indicate that he is going to do this, and most certainly did not indicate that he is going to discontinue his calls to the Director.

STATINTL

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Associate General Counsel

cc: ExDir-Compt.

[REDACTED]

STAT

Approved For Release 2002/02/26 : CIA-RDP79M00467A0003000900
27/5/68

| | | |
|---|--------------|--------|
| * SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM | | |
| UNCLASSIFIED | CONFIDENTIAL | SECRET |

OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

| TO | NAME AND ADDRESS | DATE | INITIALS |
|----|------------------|--------------|----------------|
| 1 | OLC - [REDACTED] | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| XX | ACTION | DIRECT REPLY | PREPARE REPLY |
| | APPROVAL | DISPATCH | RECOMMENDATION |
| | COMMENT | FILE | RETURN |
| | CONCURRENCE | INFORMATION | SIGNATURE |

Remarks:

FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER

FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.

DATE

ed
Office of the Director

27/5/68

| | | |
|--------------|--------------|--------|
| UNCLASSIFIED | CONFIDENTIAL | SECRET |
|--------------|--------------|--------|

Approved For Release 2002/02/26 : CIA-RDP79M00467A000300090010-9 (40)